



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/699,031	10/27/2000	Michael L Obradovich	40985/DMC/C685	6778		
23363 7	7590 12/29/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD			TO, BAOQ	TO, BAOQUOC N		
SUITE 500	DLUKADO BOULEVAKD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PASADENA,	CA 91105		2172			
•			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					11					
	•	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	7					
Office Action Summary		09/699			OBRADOVICH, MICHAEL L					
		Exami	ner	Art Unit						
	TI MAN MO DATE SUL		oc N To	2172						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ncauon appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	Idress					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comre period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. io) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	statutory minimum of the will expire SIX (6) Manapplication to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	b)⊠ This action is	non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,11,13,14,16 and 22-25</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7,11,13,14,16 and 22-25</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have b	een received. een received in	Application No	Stage					
13) <u> </u>	application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action considers a claim for the application of the foreign large. The translation of the foreign large.	nal Bureau (PCT R n for a list of the ce or domestic priority d in the first senten	Rule 17.2(a)). ertified copies no under 35 U.S.C nce of the specif	ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona ication or in an Application	l application)					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.										
Attachmen	t(s)									
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(f Informal Patent Application (PTC						
	-1									

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

- 1. The request filed on 11/24/03 for a Request For Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/699031 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 11, 13-14, 16 and 22-25 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fultz (US. Patent No. 6,021,371).

Regarding on claim 1, Fultz teaches a method, using a personal computer device, of populating a database comprising:

determining a tag location (user or mobile location 2) (col. 5, lines 37-38);

requesting (inquiry), by the personal computer device (mobile unit 2) (col. 5, lines 38-39) information from an external server (based station 1) concerning the tag location (mobile or user location) (col. 5, lines 38-54);

Art Unit: 2172

receiving the information from the external server (auxiliary service provider 10 responds to the inquiry or request) (col. 5, lines 55-66); and

providing the information to a computer system having a database residing in memory (col. 6, lines 11-16).

Fultz does not explicitly teach a tag location have described. However, the examiner interprets "the tag location" is a user or mobile location as describes in Fultz (col. 5, lines 36-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the mobile location in Fultz into "tag location" as claimed in order to provide users with information that is specific to the user's geographical location.

Regarding on claim 2, Fultz teaches determining a tag location comprises:

Evaluating the position of the personal computer device, the personal computer device being a GPS capable services (col. 6, lines 17-20);

Waiting a preselected time period (col. 6, lines 17-20);

Reevaluating the position of the GPS capable service (col. 6, lines 17-20); and Determining if the position of the GPS capable device before and after waiting the preselected time period is substantially the same (col. 6, lines 17-20).

Regarding on claim 4, Fultz teaches the tag location comprises a plurality of locations (mobile travel locations) (col. 6, lines 7-8).

Regarding on claim 5, Fultz teaches the tag location comprises a selected area (mobile location) (col. 6, lines 7-8).

Art Unit: 2172

line 9-13);

Regarding on claim 6, Fultz teaches the requesting information concerning the tag location comprising:

Formatting a request identifying the selected area to a server computer system (col. 5, lines 38-39); and

Communicating the request identifying the selected area (location data) to the server computer system (col. 6, lines 7-11).

4. Claim 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fultz (US. Patent No. 6,021,371) in view of Sotiroff et al. (US. Patent No. 5,852,810). Regarding on claim 3, Fultz teaches determining a tag location comprises: presenting a map display using the personal computer device to a user (col. 7,

Fultz teaches display 18 may include a touch screen for an input (col. 7, lines 17-18); however, Fultz does not explicitly teach receiving a selected position on the map display. However, Sotiroff teaches, "the user is allowed to select a more specific area, in this case a particular state 30, form the high level map by moving a point device over the area and selecting area (step 100, FIG. 6). Since the map is designated as an image map, the browser 26 returns the coordinates of the point of selected on the map" (col. 4, lines 11-17). This implication shows when the user selected a state by clicking on the state 30 as a selected position on the map and the coordinates are returned to the system to retrieve the area. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Sotiroff

Art Unit: 2172

into Fultz in order to allow the user to selected the specific location in the map would allow the system to retrieve the area and the reference locations as user requested.

Regarding on claim 7, Fultz teaches a method of populating the database based on the requesting information concerning the tag location as in claim 6.

Fultz does not explicitly teach the determining a tag location comprises:

presenting a map display to a user, the map display providing for selection of an area of the display; receiving an indication of an area selected on the map display.

On the other hand, Sotiroff teaches presenting a map display to a user, the map display providing for selection of an area of the display (col. 4, lines 11-15); receiving an indication of an area selected on the map display (col. 4, lines 15-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Sotiroff into Fultz in order to allow the user to selected the specific location in the map would allow the system to retrieve the area and the reference locations as user requested.

5. Claims 11, 13-14 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz et al (US. Patent No. 5,754,938).

Regarding on claim 11, Herz teaches a method of accessing data in a database using a profile, the data comprising an indication of a geographic location and information regarding the geographic location, the method comprising:

Receiving a request for data from a database (search for target object) (col. 26, lines 17-19);

Art Unit: 2172

Forming search criteria for a search of the database, the search criteria including details of the request for data and details of a profile identified by the profile identification (to search for target objects that match a search profile from a user's search profile set) (col. 26, lines 17-21); and

Locating data fulfilling the search criteria (col. 26, lines 19-21).

Herz does not explicitly teach receiving a profile identification associated with the request for data from the database, the profile identification identifying a profile, the profile being associated with a user, the user having multiple profiles associated with the user, the multiple profiles including a profile including information about the user and a standard profile, the standard profile being a standardized profile made available for selection by the user. However, Herz states, "because people have multiple interests," for example, by consisting of a set of individual search profiles, each of which identifies one of the user's areas of interest" (col. 5, lines 19-23). In addition, Herz also states, "because people have multiples interests, a target profile interest summary for a single user must represent multiple areas of interest, for example, by consisting of a set of individual search profiles, each of which identifies one of the user's areas of interest. Each user is presented with those target object whose profile mostly match the user's interests as described by the user's target profile interest summary" (col. 5, lines 19-26). This implication teaches a target profile interest is the profile including information about user and the individual search profile is the standard profile for the user to use in the search. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2172

of the invention was made to include target interest summary in Herz as search parameters in order to retrieve the information using the user profiles.

Regarding on claims 13 and 23, Herz teaches the user information includes a user age (age) (col. 4, lines 47-67).

Regarding on claims 14 and 24, Herz teaches the profile includes items identified as favorites of the user (target object) (col. 4, lines 47-67).

Regarding on claim 22 is rejected same as claim 11 except for the copied of the profile, the copied profile being, when created, a copy of another profile associated with the user. Herz teaches, "One use of these searching techniques is to search for target objects that match a search profile from a user's search profile set" (col. 26, lines 17-19). In addition, Herz also teaches, "each user is presented with those target objects whose profiles most closely match the user's interests as described by the user's target profile interest summary" (col. 5, lines 23-26). The profile interest summary is the multiples user profiles. The copied profile is the single search profile that the user uses to search for information, single search profile search a copied profile or profile that shares some of the similarity of the profile interest summary. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include multiple user profile in taught in Herz to search in order to retrieve information that related to user's profiles.

6. Claims 16 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz et al (US. Patent No. 5,754,938) in view of Reese (US. Patent No. 6,374,237).

Art Unit: 2172

Page 8

Regarding on claims 16 and 25, Herz teaches modifying user profile by the server except a request for modification of details of a profile, and modifying the profile in response to the request for modification of details of the profile. However, Reese states, "the client prompts (step 260) the user to modify the user profile request. If the user wish to modify the user profile request, the client can send the modified user profile to the matching server to conduct a further search of the content sites" (col. 4, lines 22-27). This implication teaches the user is prompt for choice of modifying the user profile and if so the modified user profile is sent to the server to conduct the search.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Reese into Herz in order to allow the user to request to modify the user profile and using the modified profile to search would retrieve the result much relevance to the users.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is (703) 305-1949 or via e-mail Baoquoc N. To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at (703) 305-9790.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Art Unit: 2172

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

• (703) 872-9306 [Official Communication]

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II
2121 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22202
Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

r daran noor (n tooopalenie

Baoquoc N. To Dec 16, 2003

> JEANM. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER